

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/287,631 04/07/99 EBY J 03063.0396-0 **EXAMINER** IM52/1010 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT KUHNS, A & DUNNER **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005 1732

DATE MAILED:

10/10/01

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

·	Application N . Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Application N. O9/287,631 Applicant(s) BY ET AL.
	Examiner Group Art Unit 1732
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period f r Reply	Tuoss(2)
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE $\frac{\int HREE(3)}{MONTH(S)}$ FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lift NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	26, 200/ BEST AVAILABLE COPY
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26, 200, 0101 / (4) (10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
∠ Claim(s) 25 - 26, 33 - 46 AND 48	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
SClaim(s) 25-26, 33-46 AND 48	-52 is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Applicati n Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) Applicati n Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Applicati n Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Applicati n Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved.
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Applicati n Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obj 	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Applicati n Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Applicati n Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are obj ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are obj ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objecte	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objecte	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objecte	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Serial Number: 09/287,631 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732 BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1. In the Information Disclosure Statement filed June 20, 2001 an article by Andrew Hunter is listed, but no copy has been located in the file. Please provide a copy of this article in the response to this Office action.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 25-26, 33-46 and 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shortway et al. (4,214,028). Shortway et al. disclose the basic claimed method of making a surface covering having multiple layers including (1) chemically embossing a first layer, and (2) mechanically embossing at least a portion of a second layer, wherein the second layer is a wear layer. Shortway et al. appear not to explicitly teach the aspect that the chemically embossed portion of the first layer has a depth greater than any embossed portion of the second layer, but forming embossed layers having such relative embossment depths would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to form a surface covering having a cross section like that illustrated in Shortway et al. in Figure 11.

Shortway et al. suggest the curing of a uniform viscosity wear layer, as in claim 51, at column 19, lines 34-68 for embodiments ib which flat, dead or dull surface finishes are not deemed desirable. Shortway et al. teach applying the wear layer before chemical embossing, as in claim 33, at column 20, lines 15-36, and the first layer being a foam layer, as in claim 34.

Serial Number: 09/287,631 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Shortway et al. teach embossing depths within the ranges of claims 35-36 and 43-44 at column 18, lines 54-61 and do not teach or suggest crushing of foam cells, as in claims 37 and 45, or the mechanical embossing of the foam layer, as in claims 38 and 46. Shortway et al. teach or suggest expanding the foam, as in claim 25, and mechanically embossing a layer in a softened state, as in claims 26, 40 and 42. Shortway et al. teach the aspect of using a retarder or an inhibitor, as in claim 39 and suggest the temperature manipulation of claim 41 by describing each type of embossing as separate steps in the process.

- 4. Claims 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shortway et al. as applied to claims 25-26, 33-46 and 51-52 above, and further in view of McCann et al. (4,100,318). McCann et al. teach, at column 4, lines 13-16 the aspect of conducting mechanical embossment subsequent to chemical embossing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this aspect into the method of Shortway et al. since either embossing order has been shown to produce satisfactory surface coverings. The prior comments concerning claim 51 are also considered to be applicable to claim 49 and Shortway et al. do not teach the presence of reactive compounds which would lead to chemical embossing of the wear layer, as in claim 50.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate.

Serial Number: 09/287,631

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jan Silbaugh, can be reached on (703) 308-3829. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

allm R. Kels

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

10-9-01